



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/636,062	08/06/2003	Christian Maciocco	42.P17373	1018

7590 01/10/2007
R. Alan Burnett
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
Seventh Floor
12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

EXAMINER

BELLO, AGUSTIN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2613

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	01/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/636,062

Applicant(s)

MACIOCCO ET AL.

Examiner

Agustin Bello

Art Unit

2613

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/29/06, 8/10/05
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: ____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 4-5, 9-10, 12-14, 16-21, 27-30, and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pung (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0150099).

Regarding claim 1, 20, 28, and 31, Pung teaches a method for establishing a coarse-grained reservation of a lightpath traversing a plurality of connected lightpath segments between source and destination nodes in an optical switched network, comprising: making a soft reservation of node resources supporting respective lightpath segments from among the plurality of lightpath segments (paragraph [0019]), the soft reservation of the node resources corresponding to a scheduled time period for which the lightpath is requested to be reserved; determining if adequate node resources are available for reservation during the scheduled time period to support traversal of the entire lightpath (paragraph [0049]); and making a hard reservation of the node resources corresponding to the scheduled time period if adequate node resources are determined to be available (paragraph [0019]).

Regarding claims 4 and 32, Pung teaches storing resource reservation data at each node, including resource reservation status indicia indicating whether a resource has a corresponding

Art Unit: 2613

soft or hard reservation (paragraph [0044], paragraph [0048], paragraph [0057]; reference numeral S508 in Figure 5A).

Regarding claim 5 and 27, Pung teaches passing a resource reservation request message between the nodes connected to the lightpath segments in a downstream traversal of the lightpath (paragraph [0029], paragraph [0042]), the resource reservation request message including resource reservation information (e.g. "QoS constraints" in paragraph [0042]); extracting the resource reservation information from the resource reservation request message (e.g. inherent in "constraints are tested" of paragraph [0042]); determining, based on existing resource reservation data for a given node, whether adequate resources are available during the scheduled time period (e.g. "ensure that a multicast path satisfying the QoS constraints may include this node" of paragraph [0042]); and making a soft reservation for a node resource the resource is determined to be available for the scheduled time period (e.g. "tentatively reserved" in paragraph [0042]).

Regarding claim 9, Pung teaches that the resource request information includes data defining the scheduled time period (inherent in the reservation system employed by Pung).

Regarding claim 10, Pung teaches passing a resource reservation response message (e.g. "confirmation" in paragraph [0029], paragraph [0043]) between the nodes coupled to the lightpath segments in an upstream traversal of the lightpath, the resource reservation request message including resource reservation response information (inherent); extracting, at each node, the resource reservation response information from the resource reservation response message; and changing, at each node, the soft reservation for the node resource to a hard reservation (e.g. "confirmed" in paragraph [0047], paragraph [0058]).

Regarding claim 12, Pung teaches building a list of potential lightpaths between the source and destination nodes (e.g. "Req (A, x, y)" in Figure 12a); selecting a first potential lightpath in the list (e.g. "Req (A, a, b)"; determining if sufficient resources are available to reserve node resources supporting lightpath segments defined by the first potential lightpath for the scheduled time period (e.g. QoS test of paragraph [0100]); and processing a next potential lightpath in the list (e.g. "Req(A,b,d)" in Figure 12A) to determine if sufficient resources are available to reserve node resources supporting lightpath segments defined by the next lightpath for the scheduled time period if it is determined that resources supporting the lightpath segments of the first potential lightpath are insufficient (e.g. "Req(A,b,c)" in Figure 12A) ; and repeating the previous operation for subsequent next potential lightpaths in the list until either a lightpath having sufficient resources is identified (e.g. "Selected Path" in Figure 12A; paragraph [0042]) or the list is exhausted (paragraph [0103]).

Regarding claim 13, Pung teaches prioritizing the potential lightpaths in the list based on at least one transmission-related criteria (paragraph [0009] - paragraph [0011]).

Regarding claim 14, Pung teaches dynamically reprioritizing the potential lightpaths in the list in response to a detected change in network transmission conditions (paragraph [0010], paragraph [0044]).

Regarding claim 16, Pung teaches dynamically reprioritizing the potential lightpaths in the list in response to a detected change in network topology (paragraph [0010]).

Regarding claims 17 and 33, Pung teaches that the determination of whether adequate resources are available at a given node comprises: aggregating any existing reservations for the node resource corresponding to a specified bandwidth and the scheduled time period to

Art Unit: 2613

obtain an existing resource allocation; adding the bandwidth percentage corresponding to a resource reservation request to the existing resource allocation to obtain a requested allocation for the node resource; determining if the requested allocation exceeds a threshold (paragraph [0049]).

Regarding claim 18, Pung teaches that partial use of node resource may be reserved (e.g. part of the overall resources of the node).

Regarding claim 19, Pung teaches the partial use comprises a bandwidth percentage use of a lightpath segment (inherent in the sharing of node resources).

Regarding claim 21, Pung teaches that execution of the instructions further performs the operation of storing resource reservation data on one of the first storage device or a second storage device operatively coupled to said at least one processor, said resource reservation data including resource reservation status indicia indicating whether a resource has a corresponding soft or hard reservation (paragraph [0040], paragraph [0044-0047]).

Regarding claim 29 and 30, Pung teaches that said at least one processor includes a network processor or a control processor (paragraph [0040]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2613

4. Claims 2-3, 6-8, 11, 15, and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pung.

Regarding claims 2, 3, 22, 23, and 34, Pung differs from the claimed invention in that Pung fails to specifically teach that the optical switched network comprises a photonic burst switched network or a wavelength division multiplexed PBS network. However, both types of optical switched networks are well known in the art and Official Notice is given to that effect. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to employ Pung's reservation methodology to a photonic burst switched network or a wavelength division multiplexed PBS network in order to efficiently route multicast signals according to multiple QoS constraints (paragraph [0014]).

Regarding claims 6, 7, and 24, Pung differs from the claimed invention in that Pung fails to specifically teach the use of GMPLS based labels. However, the use of these labels are well known in the art and Official Notice is given to that effect. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to employ a GMPLS based label in order to provide a framework for dynamic provisioning of connection in the optical network. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use GMPLS labels in the system of Pung.

Regarding claims 8, 11, 25, and 26, Pung differs from the claimed invention in that Pung fails to specifically teach that the resource reservation request message comprises a Path/Resv message having a format based on an extension to the RSVP-TE (ReSerVation Protocol - Traffic Engineering) signaling protocol. However, PATH/RESV messages based on extensions to the RSVP-TE protocol are well known in the art and Official notice is given to that effect. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to use PATH/RESV messages in order to allow for

Art Unit: 2613

bandwidth reservation in a peer-to-peer environment. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use PATH/RSVP messages having a format based on an extension to the RSVP-TE in the system of Pung.

Regarding claim 15, Pung differs from the claimed invention in that Pung fails to specifically teach that the potential light paths are prioritized based on traffic balancing considerations. However, prioritizing light paths based on traffic balancing considerations is well known in the art and Official Notice is given to that effect. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to prioritizing light paths based on traffic balancing considerations in order to efficiently balance the resources of the network among a plurality of users. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to prioritizing light paths based on traffic balancing considerations.


Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Agustin Bello whose telephone number is (571) 272-3026. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571)272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2613

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Agustin Bello
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2613

AB